

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,525	YOSHINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	

All Participants:

Status of Application: ---

(1) Pamela R. Schwartz.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Connor.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obvious double patenting (see the attached action)

Claims discussed:

44-51

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed whether or not related cases contained a restriction requirement precluding double patenting rejections over the related patented cases.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)